1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	
2	WILLIAM A. MUNDELL	
3	Chairman JIM IRVIN	
4	Commissioner MARC SPITZER	
5	Commissioner	
6	In the matter of:	DOCKET NO. S-03431A-01-0000
7	MELVIN LEE CLINE	NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR
8	7812 Via de la Entrada ) Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 )	HEARING REGARDING PROPOSED ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, FOR
9	WHY NOT ENTERTAINMENT, L.L.C	RESTITUTION, FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES AND
10	7229 East 1st Av., Suite C Scottsdale, Arizona 85251	FOR OTHER AFFIRMATIVE ACTION
11	Respondents.	
12	,	
13	NOTICE: RESPONDENTS HAVE 10 DAYS TO REQUEST A HEARING	
14	The Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") alleges	
15	that respondents have engaged in acts, practices and transactions, which constitute violations of the Securitie	
16	Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801 et seq. ("Securities Act").	
17	I.	
18	JURISDICTION	
19	1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona	
20	Constitution and the Securities Act	
21	Constitution and the Securities Act.	
22	II.	
23	RESPONDENTS	
24	2. MELVIN LEE CLINE ("CLINE"), whose last known Arizona address was 7812 Via de la	
25	Entrada, Scottsdale, Arizona 85258, is Chief Executive Officer of WHY NOT ENTERTAINMENT, L.L.C.	
26		

3. WHY NOT ENTERTAINMENT, L.L.C ("WHY NOT") is an Arizona limited liability corporation, whose last known address was 7229 East 1st Av., Suite C, Scottsdale, Arizona 85251.

4. The respondents may be collectively referred to as "RESPONDENTS."

#### III.

#### **FACTS**

- 5. Beginning in February 2000, RESPONDENTS have engaged in the offer or sale within or from Arizona of securities in the form of stock to the public of WHY NOT.
- 6. CLINE came up with the concept for WHY NOT and created a "Confidential Private Offering Memorandum" ("POM") for WHY NOT, seeking to raise \$10,000,000 through the sale of common stock. The POM was given to prospective investors. The POM listed CLINE as the Interim CEO and Director of WHY NOT.
- 7. According to RESPONDENTS, WHY NOT intended to use the proceeds of the offering primarily to invest in Touch-Tunes Music Corporation, an OTCBB company, in a joint venture with Sonic Corporation, a Nasdaq listed company, in Straightline Entertainment, Inc. and in Infant Art-in-Motion, the later two being private companies, plus the purchase of \$1,000,000 of jukeboxes, to be located in Arizona and Hawaii.
- 8. No investment was ever made by WHY NOT. Instead, it spent the money raised on marketing, salaries and expenses. The salaries paid by WHY NOT include CLINE's annual salary of \$100,000.
- 9. The POM stated that the stock offering was a private offering, via an exemption under Federal Rule 506 and Regulation D and a corresponding exemption under the Securities Act. However, no filing, as required by A.A.C. R14-4-126, was made with the Securities Division.

- 10. The POM stated that as of its offering date, 1,687,500 shares of WHY NOY were outstanding, including 1,625,000 owned by New Millennium, Ltd., a Antiguan company controlled by CLINE. However, no shares had been issued to New Millennium nor had it purchased or paid for any shares. No disclosure was given in the POM or to investors that CLINE had created the concept of New Millennium, had been its CEO or that he controlled New Millennium through a power of attorney.
- 11. The POM states that CLINE "graduated with a Masters Degree in Marketing and Business Management from Owens University." That information was false, as CLINE had never graduated from college, much less received a master's degree.
- 12. The POM did not disclose, nor did RESPONDENTS inform investors that CLINE had been convicted in 1986 of five felony counts of obtaining property by false pretenses and additional counts of transacting worthless checks and had been sentenced to eight years in prison.
- 13. RESPONDENTS did not inform investors that on July 14, 1999, the state of Alaska had entered a temporary cease and desist order against CLINE, fining him \$25,000, for violations of that State's securities law. That order became final on January 7, 2000.
  - 14. WHY NOT raised at least \$112,500 from at least seven investors, in Arizona and Colorado.

#### IV.

### VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1841

### (Offer or Sale of Unregistered Securities)

- 15. From on or about January 2000, RESPONDENTS offered or sold securities in the form of stock, within or from Arizona.
- 16. The securities referred to above were not registered pursuant to the provisions of Articles 6 or 7 of the Securities Act.
  - 17. This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1841.

26

1

2

3

45

6

7

8

9 10

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

26

V.

# VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1842

## (Transactions by Unregistered Dealers or Salesmen)

- 18. RESPONDENTS offered or sold securities within or from Arizona, while not registered as dealers or salesmen pursuant to the provisions of Article 9 of the Securities Act.
  - 19. This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1842.

#### VI.

# VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1991

## (Fraud in Connection with the Offer or Sale of Securities)

- 20. In connection with the offer or sale of securities within or from Arizona, RESPONDENTS directly or indirectly: (i) employed a device, scheme or artifice to defraud; (ii) made untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state material facts which were necessary in order to make the statements made not misleading in light of the circumstances under which they were made; and (iii) engaged in transactions, practices or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud σ deceit upon offerees and investors. RESPONDENTS' conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
  - a) Failing to disclose to investors CLINE's felony convictions.
- b) Failing to disclose to investors that the state of Alaska had found that CLINE had violated that State's securities laws and had fined him \$25,000 for the violation.
- c) Falsely informing investors in the POM that WHY NOT's stock was exempt from registration while never taking the steps necessary in order to have such exemption.
- d) Falsely informing investors in the POM that 1,625,000 shares of WHY NOT had been sold to New Mellennium, Ltd.
- e) Failing to disclose to investors that New Mellennium, Ltd., a company listed as owning the controlling interest in WHY NOT, was controlled by CLINE.

much less obtain a master's degree from it.

21.

1.

pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032;

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

Order RESPONDENTS to take affirmative action to correct the conditions resulting from their acts, practices or transactions, including a requirement to make restitution pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032;
 Order RESPONDENTS to pay the state of Arizona administrative penalties of up to five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for each violation of the Securities Act, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036; and
 Order any other relief that the Commission deems appropriate.

This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1991.

#### VIII.

f) Falsely stating in the POM that CLINE "graduated with a Masters Degree in Marketing

Order RESPONDENTS to permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act,

and Business Management from Owens University," when CLINE had never attended Owens University,

VII.

REQUESTED RELIEF

The Division requests that the Commission grant the following relief against RESPONDENTS:

#### **HEARING OPPORTUNITY**

RESPONDENTS may request a hearing pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1972 and A.A.C. R14-4-306. A request must be in writing and received by the Commission within 10 business days after service of this Notice of Opportunity for Hearing. Each RESPONDENT must deliver or mail the request to Docket Control, Arizona Corporation Commission, 1200 W. Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007. A Docket Control cover sheet must accompany the request. A cover sheet form and instructions may be obtained from Docket by Control calling (602)542-3477 the Commission's Internet web site or on at www.cc.state.az.us/utility/forms/index.htm.

If a request for a hearing is timely made, the Commission shall schedule the hearing to begin 20 to 60 days from the receipt of the request unless otherwise provided by law, stipulated by the parties, or ordered by the Commission. If a request for a hearing is not timely made, the Commission may, without a hearing, enter

an order against each RESPONDENT granting the relief requested by the Division in this Notice of Opportunity for Hearing.

Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation such as a sign language interpreter, as well as request this document in an alternative format, by contacting Shelly M. Hood, ADA Coordinator, voice phone number 602/542-3931, e-mail <a href="mailto:shood@cc.state.az.us">shood@cc.state.az.us</a>. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of March, 2001.

Mark Sendrow Director of Securities